

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-647-3050

864-638-4000

864-972-2136

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Good	N/A
2003	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

41.9 22.4 11.9 45.3 11.0 11.0 12.1 13.0 14.0 15.7 16.8

Mathematics

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	34	118	73
Percent satisfied with learning environment	60.6%	64.1%	76.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	56.3%	67.8%	59.2%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	57.6%	78.8%	77.5%

Westifilister Middle								3701010
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	Et te ind		, i	, i	, i	L,	
		15,40	/.	Jon Basic		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cient and cot Advanced
	/20	ELL LESTI	lested old	"Bas	Basic oh	- oficit	wante (cient and
	Troll	401/0/0	(8) / (8)	³ 10, \ a/	gr / 0/1	810	Mr. Stol	de Advance
	/ v . (0/0				0/0	/ 6
All students	450	99.6	33.9	45.3	iguage A 18.6	2.1	20.8	17.6
Gender	453	99.0	33.9	45.5	10.0	2.1	20.0	17.0
Male	230	99.1	44.2	44.2	10.1	1.4	11.5	17.6
Female	223	100.0	23.7	46.4	27.0	2.8	29.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	223	100.0	20.1	70.7	21.0	2.0	20.0	17.0
White	402	99.5	31.9	45.9	19.7	2.4	22.2	17.6
African-American	41	100.0	52.5	40.0	7.5	N/A	7.5	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	13//3	0.0	. 4/7	. 1// 1	. 1// (. 4/7 (. 1// 1	
Not disabled	350	99.7	25.3	48.8	23.2	2.7	25.9	17.6
Disabled	103	99.0	64.8	33.0	2.2	N/A	2.2	17.6
Migrant Status	100	30.0	5 1.0	55.0		. 4// \		0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	453	99.6	33.9	45.3	18.6	2.1	20.8	17.6
English Proficiency	100	0010	00.0	10.0	1010		20.0	1110
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	451	99.6	33.9	45.2	18.8	2.2	20.9	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	279	99.3	39.0	45.0	15.5	0.4	15.9	17.6
Full-pay meals	174	100.0	26.2	45.8	23.2	4.8	28.0	17.6
		•						
				Mathe	matics			
All students	453	99.8	23.8	41.9	22.4	11.9	34.3	15.5
Gender								
Male	230	99.6	25.8	40.2	22.5	11.5	34.0	15.5
Female	223	100.0	21.8	43.6	22.3	12.3	34.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	402	99.8	19.1	43.9	24.5	12.4	36.9	15.5
African-American	41	100.0	70.0	22.5	5.0	2.5	7.5	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	350	100.0	17.0	41.0	26.7	15.2	41.9	15.5
Disabled	103	99.0	48.4	45.1	6.6	N/A	6.6	15.5
Migrant Status		~ ~	N1/A	NI/A	N1/A	NI/A	NI/A	45.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	453	99.8	23.8	41.9	22.4	11.9	34.3	15.5
English Proficiency		400.0	N1/A	NI/A	N1/A	NIZA	N1/A	15.
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	451	99.8	23.7	41.7	22.5	12.0	34.5	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								

30.6

13.7

42.9

40.5

18.7

28.0

7.9 17.9 26.6

45.8

15.5

15.5

99.6

100.0

279

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	84 04 . 0/0	0/086	10,	28th 01/2	6/2	ALC 0/0 Profi
		/ · ·			/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	136	N/A	29.5	48.5	19.7	2.3	22.0
	Grade 7	140	N/A	29.0	50.0	18.1	2.9	21.0
•	Grade 8	131	N/A	35.2	46.1	16.4	2.3	18.8
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	155	100.0	34.5	38.6	24.8	2.1	26.9
	Grade 7	147	99.3	35.0	53.3	10.9	0.7	11.7
	Grade 8	151	99.3	32.1	44.5	19.7	3.6	23.4

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	136	N/A	31.8	51.5	15.2	1.5	16.7
	Grade 7	140	N/A	27.5	37.0	19.6	15.9	35.5
•	Grade 8	131	N/A	31.0	51.9	11.6	5.4	17.1
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	155	100.0	24.1	35.9	23.4	16.6	40.0
	Grade 7	147	100.0	18.8	41.3	26.8	13.0	39.9
	Grade 8	151	99.3	28.5	48.9	16.8	5.8	22.6

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 440)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	3.5%	Up from 0.0%	12.4%	14.4%
Retention rate	3.4%	Down from 3.7%	3.0%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.3%	No change	94.8%	95.2%
	16.6%	Up from 12.4%	12.7%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	22.8%	Up from 22.0%	15.1%	14.1%
	3.9%	Down from 4.0%	4.5%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.7%	Down from 1.9%	1.2%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	Down from 0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 31)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	45.2%	Down from 53.3%	45.5%	47.1%
	80.6%	Down from 83.3%	80.0%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	87.6%	Down from 89.0%	83.2%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	92.4%	Down from 94.6%	94.8%	95.0%
	\$40,162	Down 2.7%	\$38,921	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	13.8 days	Up from 8.9 days	10.9 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.5 to 1	Up from 21.1 to 1	20.9 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.3%	Down from 90.6%	88.3%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,326	Up 4.7%	\$5,685	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	54.4%	Down from 61.1%	62.1%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	95.7%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

					•		
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Westminster Middle is committed to providing diverse learning experiences that teach critical and creative thinking, which will enable our students to become productive, competent, and responsible lifelong learners. To this end, our students are afforded the opportunity to experience the highest levels of course work available. In addition, our students are required to take two Exploratory classes each day, as we also feel it is critical that students participate in a variety of fine arts programs and extracurricular activities such as Band, Art, Chorus, Strings, PE, Project Lead the Way, Computer Science, Girl's and Boy's Basketball, Cheerleading, Football, and athletics at the high school.

As we look back on the year, we are very proud of our many accomplishments and have a clear understanding of the areas in need of attention for the upcoming year. A major focus of our school improvement plan is to involve parents. We continued to host a parent's night at the end of each nine-week grading period, an Awards Day picnic to include parents, and as our report card indicates by the number of parent conferences, we were successful in involving parents in their child's education.

We continued our Character Education program for all students. Our program consists of selecting a student from each homeroom every month as a role model for the chosen trait and rewarding these students with a small celebration. We also continued to participate in the Governor's Character Education Recognition program with the school winner attending a recognition ceremony in Columbia.

Westminster Middle School continued a modified block schedule permitting all students to spend an additional 45 minutes in both math and English/language arts each day. This will change back to a traditional 7 or 8 period schedule in the 2003-2004 school year in order to equalize instruction in the core subjects, as all will be PACT tested. A comprehensive remediation program was continued in both math and English/language arts using Accelerated Math (AM), Terrific Six, and Perfect Copy. We also offered an after-school program to Below Basic students twice a week until the PACT.

Our school received two major recognitions for the work we do: the Palmetto Silver Award for 2002 PACT score improvement from the State Department of Education, and the Award of Excellence for our school's web page from the South Carolina Chapter of the National School Public Relations Association. We appreciate the support of the community and our diligent PTA/School Improvement Committee in achieving these awards and the goals of our school.

R. Steven Willis, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.